# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

	101111	D WESTER	. 21011	
DARIAN I	BALCOM,		)	
	Plaintiff,		)	
v.			)	2:19cv506 Electronic Filing
GABE FIG	GUEROA,		)	
	Defendant.		)	
		$\underline{\mathbf{v}}$	ERDIC	T SLIP
We	the jury empane	led in the abo	ve-captio	n case render the following findings of fact:
QUESTION	No. One			
Has	plaintiff proved	by a prepond	erance of	the evidence:
A) t arre	-	Matthew Gre	bner were	e similarly situated at the time of plaintiff's
X	_ yes	no		
B) t	hat defendant la	cked probable	cause to	arrest plaintiff?
	yes	no		
If yo	ou answered "ye	es" to either su	bpart or l	ooth subparts to Question No. One, please
(answer Que	estion No. Two	If you answer	red "no" i	to each subpart of Question No. One, plaintiff
cannot reco	ver on her First	Amendment F	Retaliation	n claim and you are to skip Questions Nos.
Two and Tl	hree and proceed	directly to Q	uestion N	lo. Four.

	QUESTION	No.	Two
--	----------	-----	-----

Has plaintiff proved by a preponderance of the evidence:

A) that she engaged in communications with defendant reflecting protected speech and/or conveying political beliefs?

X yes \_\_\_\_nc

If you answered "yes" to subpart A of Question No. Two, please answer subpart B of Question No. Two. If you answered "no" to subpart A of Question No. Two, plaintiff cannot recover on her First Amendment Retaliation claim and you are to skip Question No. Three and proceed directly to Question No. Four.

B) that her protected speech and/or political beliefs were a substantial or motivating factor in defendant's decision to arrest her?

X yes \_\_\_\_no

If you answered "yes" to subpart B of Question No. Two, please answer Question No. Three. If you answered "no" to subpart B of Question No. Two, plaintiff cannot recover on her First Amendment Retaliation claim and you are to skip Question No. Three and proceed directly to Question No. Four.

## **QUESTION NO. THREE**

Has defendant proved by a preponderance of the evidence:

A) that he had probable cause to arrest plaintiff?

× yes \_\_\_\_ no

If your answer to subpart A of Question No. Three was "yes," then proceed to subpart B of Question No. Three. If your answer to subpart A of Question No. Three was "no," skip subpart B and proceed to Question No. Four.

B) has defendant proved that he would have arrested plaintiff based on that probable cause in any event - that is, he would have done so notwithstanding his consideration of the protected speech and/or protected beliefs that you found to have been a substantial or motivating factor in answering Question No. Two?

If you answered "yes" to both subparts of Question No. Three, defendant has proved his affirmative defense and plaintiff cannot recover on her First Amendment Retaliation claim. If you answered "no" to either subpart of Question No. Three, plaintiff can recover on her First Amendment Retaliation claim. After answering Question No. Three as directed, proceed to Question No. Four.

### QUESTION NO. FOUR

Has plaintiff proved by a preponderance of the evidence that plaintiff and Matthew Grebner were similarly situated at the time of plaintiff's arrest?

If you answered "yes" to Question No. Four, please proceed to Question No. Five. If you answered "no" to Question No. Four, plaintiff cannot recover on her claim for enforcement of the law in violation of the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.

Has plaintiff proved by a preponderance of the evidence that her gender or sex was a substantial or motivating factor in defendant's decision to arrest her?

\_\_\_yes \_\_\_\_\_no

If you reached and answered "yes" to Question No. Five, plaintiff is entitled to recover on her claim for enforcement of the law in violation of the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. If you reached and answered "no" to Question No. Five, plaintiff cannot recover on her claim for enforcement of the law in violation of the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.

#### **COMPENSATORY DAMAGES**

You may only award damages on plaintiff's First Amendment Claim if you reached and answered "yes" to both subparts of Question No. Two, and you reached and answered "no" to either subpart A or subpart B of Question No. Three.

You may only award damages on plaintiff's claim for enforcement of the law in violation of the Equal Protection Clause if you reached and answered "yes" to Question No. Five.

If you answered the questions in any other way, your determinations of fact do not permit plaintiff to recover on either of her claims and your verdict is for defendant. In that event, you must sign and date the verdict slip and inform the court that you have finished your deliberations.

If you answered the questions in a way that entitles plaintiff to a verdict on one or both of her claims, then provide the amount of damages, if any, that you award plaintiff pursuant to the court's instructions on damages:

<b>Compensatory Damages</b>		
Nominal Damages		
Punitive Damages	<del></del>	
Total Damages		

# Case 2:19-cv-00506-DSC Document 163 Filed 06/29/23 Page 5 of 5

Please sign and date the verdict slip and advise the court that you have finished your deliberations.

Date 6/38/3033

Brenda D. Lanala Jury Foreperson